

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 C.M.O., A MINOR, et al.,  
6 Plaintiffs,

7 v.  
8

9  
10 COUNTY OF SAN MATEO, et al.,  
11 Defendants.  
12

Case No. [19-cv-02992-SI](#)

**ORDER RESOLVING JOINT  
DISCOVERY DISPUTE LETTER NO. 1**

Re: Dkt. No. 43

13  
14 On April 28, 2020, the parties in the above action submitted a joint discovery dispute letter  
15 asking the Court to resolve issues relating to 18 third party subpoenas. Dkt. No. 43. Defendants  
16 seek documents and information from Mr. Okobi's employer's, educational institutions, and  
17 medical and mental health providers, at times dating as far back as 2004. Dkt. No. 43 at 2; Dkt. No.  
18 45. Defendants argue the subpoenas are proper and relevant to discovery (1) regarding damages,  
19 (2) corroborating the deputies' perception of Mr. Okobi shortly before his death, (3) explaining Mr.  
20 Okobi's conduct shortly before his death, and (4) helping to explain Mr. Okobi's cause of death.  
21 Dkt. No. 43 at 2-3

22 Plaintiff C.M.O., Mr. Okobi's 12-year-old daughter represented by her natural mother and  
23 guardian ad litem Mi'Trease Johnson, argues the subpoenas are overbroad and seek irrelevant  
24 information. Dkt. No. 43 at 3-4. The Court agrees in part.

25 On May 4, 2020, the Court ordered the parties to submit the contested subpoenas for the  
26 Court's review. Dkt. No. 44. There are 18 disputed subpoenas: (a) 5 subpoenas to Mr. Okobi's  
27 former employers; (b) two subpoenas to Mr. Okobi's educational institutions; and (c) and 9  
28 subpoenas to Mr. Okobi's various medical and mental health providers. Dkt. No. 45.

1       First, plaintiff's complaint alleges, in two places, that she is seeks damages for loss of Mr.  
2 Okobi's earning capacity. See Dkt. No. 41 ¶¶ 67, 75. Thus, information from Mr. Okobi's former  
3 employers are relevant regarding those damages. **If plaintiff wishes to amend her complaint and**  
4 **choose not to seek such damages she may do so on or before June 1, 2020.** If plaintiff does not  
5 amend her complaint to remove those damages then the subpoenas to Mr. Okobi's former employers  
6 are proper.

7       Second, the Court agrees with plaintiff that the subpoenas to Mr. Okobi's educational  
8 institutions are overbroad and not calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Those  
9 subpoenas are hereby quashed in their entirety.

10      Third, while the Court agrees defendants are entitled to some information regarding Mr.  
11 Okobi's medical and mental health information, the sweeping and unqualified scope of the  
12 subpoenas as currently presented are overbroad. Defendants may seek information and documents  
13 regarding Mr. Okobi's medical history dating back to 2015

14

15      **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16      Dated: May 11, 2020



17

18      SUSAN ILLSTON  
United States District Judge

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28